
III. ASPIRATION CORNER

Emergence Revisited: Uncovering the Path from Emergent Properties to Emergentism

Janos Rosenberg (University of Sofia)

Abstract: The concept of emergence, central to both philosophy and science, describes how complex properties arise from simpler constituent elements in ways that are novel and irreducible. This paper explores historical and contemporary theories of emergence, particularly in relation to metaphysics, the philosophy of mind, biology, physics, psychology, and social sciences. Notable perspectives include strong versus weak emergence, as well as debates on whether consciousness is an emergent property of neurobiological processes. Figures such as John Searle, Jaegwon Kim, David Chalmers, and C. D. Broad have contributed to these discussions, with varying stances on the reducibility of mental states. Beyond philosophy, emergent phenomena appear in phase transitions, collective behaviors, and artificial intelligence, reinforcing the idea that higher-order systems display properties that cannot be strictly deduced from their components. This paper evaluates different emergentist theories, their epistemological and ontological implications, and their broader relevance to scientific and philosophical discourse.

Keywords: Emergence, emergent properties, philosophy of mind, reductionism, consciousness, strong vs. weak emergence, metaphysics, complexity science, artificial intelligence, psycholinguistics.

I. Introduction: The Rise of Emergence in Philosophy and Science

The concept of emergence has captivated philosophers, scientists, and scholars across diverse fields, offering an insightful and powerful framework for understanding the complexities of both natural and social phenomena. At its essence, emergence refers to the phenomenon where complex systems or entities display new, unexpected properties that cannot be fully explained or reduced to the behaviors or characteristics of their individual components (O'Connor and Wong 2015). These emergent properties arise from the intricate interactions and relation-

ships between the components at various levels of organization, often manifesting in ways that are unpredictable, novel, and irreducible. This concept is not merely an observation of complexity; it provides a theoretical lens for grasping how new qualities can arise in systems that surpass the simple aggregation of their parts. Emergentism, the philosophical stance that endorses this view, is not confined to any one field but resonates deeply across many disciplines, from metaphysics and the philosophy of mind to biology, physics, psychology, and sociology, suggesting that reality itself may be more than the sum of its parts.

Historically, the emergence of emergent properties as a formal philosophical and scientific concept can be traced back to early discussions in both science and philosophy, though its real prominence began to take shape in the late 19th and early 20th centuries. The debates surrounding emergentism became particularly pronounced during this period, as prominent intellectual figures such as George Henry Lewes, Samuel Alexander, and C. D. Broad explored the relationship between emergent properties and reductionism, offering varying and sometimes conflicting perspectives. These thinkers sought to determine whether complex phenomena, including consciousness and life itself, could be fully accounted for by breaking them down into their simpler, more fundamental components or whether the higher-level properties of these systems represented something truly novel and irreducible. In particular, George Henry Lewes is credited with first introducing the term "emergence" in the context of philosophy, and his views influenced many subsequent theories about how complex systems could give rise to new properties. Samuel Alexander and C. D. Broad, in turn, contributed critical discussions about the ontological and metaphysical implications of emergent properties, framing emergence not merely as a scientific observation, but as a central issue in the philosophy of mind, and, more broadly, in metaphysics.

Emergent phenomena manifest across a vast array of contexts, providing rich insights into the functioning of natural and social systems. In the natural sciences, for example, emergent properties are central to our understanding of complex systems, ranging from biological organisms to intricate chemical reactions. The idea that life itself can emerge from a collection of non-living molecules exemplifies the power of emergentism to challenge traditional reductionist explanations of biological phenomena. Biological systems, such as cells, organs, and eco-

systems, demonstrate how higher-order properties - such as metabolism, homeostasis, and ecological balance - arise from the interplay of simpler components, properties that are not predictable from an analysis of individual parts in isolation. Similarly, in physics and chemistry, emergent properties manifest in phenomena such as phase transitions and the behavior of complex materials, like superconductivity, where the collective interactions of atoms or molecules produce properties that cannot be deduced from the individual particles alone.

In the philosophy of mind, the notion of emergence has become a central concern in discussions about consciousness and its relationship to neurobiological processes. Emergentism has been particularly influential in shaping contemporary debates regarding whether consciousness can be reduced to, or explained entirely by, the activity of neurons and brain chemistry, or whether mental states represent a higher-order emergent property that cannot be fully understood by examining the brain's individual components. Some philosophers argue that consciousness arises from the complex organization of neural networks, while others contend that the subjective experience of consciousness represents something qualitatively different from mere neural activity. This debate reflects deeper philosophical questions about the nature of the mind-body problem, as well as the broader question of whether emergent properties can be truly irreducible to the physical substrate from which they emerge.

The interdisciplinary nature of emergentism makes it a fertile ground for bridging metaphysical inquiries with empirical scientific investigations. This paper explores these issues, drawing upon key theoretical contributions from figures like Lewes, Alexander, and Broad, while also engaging with contemporary discussions on the philosophy of mind, neuroscience, and complex systems theory. By examining how emergentist frameworks can inform our understanding of complex phenomena, this paper aims to show how emergent properties are not just a theoretical curiosity but offer profound implications for the way we think about the relationship between mind and matter, the role of complexity in natural systems, and the ontological nature of reality itself.

II. Emergent Properties in Metaphysical and Scientific Contexts

The concept of emergence resides at the intersection of metaphysics and science, acting as a pivotal bridge between these two domains of inquiry. As O'Connor and Wong (2015) explain, emergent properties are

those characteristics that emerge from a complex system composed of various elements, but these properties cannot be fully explained or reduced to the properties of the constituent parts. In other words, emergent properties are not simply the sum of their parts; they are new, irreducible, and often unpredictable features that cannot be anticipated merely by analyzing the individual components of the system in isolation. The central issue surrounding emergent properties lies in the question of whether these properties can ultimately be reduced to the simpler elements that make up the system. This question raises broader philosophical discussions and forms the basis of a longstanding debate between reductionism and emergentism, two opposing perspectives that propose different views on the nature of complex systems and their explanation.

Reductionism holds that complex systems can be understood by breaking them down into their most basic, fundamental components, and that all properties of a system are fully explained by the interactions of these parts. Emergentism, in contrast, argues that some properties of a system cannot be understood or predicted solely by analyzing the components in isolation, as new and unexpected properties arise when these components interact. This philosophical tension is key to understanding the broader implications of emergent properties across different domains, from metaphysics to science, as it calls into question the very nature of causality, explanation, and understanding.

In the philosophy of mind, the question of whether consciousness is reducible to neurobiological processes or is an emergent phenomenon in its own right has spurred a substantial body of theoretical work. Leading philosophers such as John Searle, Jaegwon Kim, and David Chalmers have all advanced differing theories on the matter. Searle (1992) has put forward a view known as "weak emergence," wherein consciousness is seen as an emergent property that arises from complex neurobiological processes. However, according to Searle, while consciousness emerges from these processes, it does not possess causal powers independent of the brain's physical workings; in other words, it is entirely dependent on the underlying biological processes but does not exert any influence beyond them. On the other hand, David Chalmers (1995) has argued for "strong emergence," positing that consciousness is not only an emergent phenomenon but one that has causal powers that cannot be fully explained by the brain's physical mechanisms. In this view, consciousness is considered to be irreducible to the brain's neuro-

biological processes and possesses its own distinctive properties that cannot be entirely understood through a reductionist framework.

Beyond the philosophy of mind, emergent properties are equally crucial in various scientific disciplines, such as biology and physics. In biology, the emergence of life from molecular interactions offers a compelling example of how complexity can arise from simpler elements. As neuroscientist Herculano-Houzel (2009) points out, the human brain, with its estimated 86 billion neurons, demonstrates the profound complexity of emergent phenomena. The brain's intricate network of neurons and their interactions give rise to emergent cognitive functions, including perception, memory, and consciousness itself, which cannot be fully explained by simply studying individual neurons in isolation. The human brain, in this sense, is an ideal model for understanding how emergent properties can arise from complex biological systems, where the behavior and function of the whole system cannot be predicted or reduced to the properties of its individual parts.

Similarly, in the realm of physics, emergent properties play a crucial role in understanding phenomena such as superconductivity and phase transitions. Superconductivity, for instance, arises when certain materials exhibit zero electrical resistance at very low temperatures, a property that is not evident from the behavior of individual atoms or electrons within the material. Instead, it emerges from the collective behavior of the particles as a result of quantum mechanical effects. Similarly, phase transitions, such as the transition of water from a liquid to a gas, exhibit emergent behaviors where the system's properties - like temperature, pressure, and volume - suddenly change in non-linear ways. These transitions cannot be understood by simply examining individual molecules or atoms but require an understanding of how these components collectively interact under specific conditions.

In the social sciences, emergent properties can also be observed in the behaviors and structures that arise from the interactions of individuals within larger societal systems. Economic systems, political institutions, social norms, and cultural practices are all examples of emergent phenomena that cannot be reduced to the actions of any single individual. Rather, these systems emerge from the complex interactions and relationships among individuals, groups, and institutions within society. As Beckermann (2008) notes, these social phenomena exhibit properties that are not attributable to any single actor, but rather arise from the col-

lective organization, collaboration, and sometimes even the competition between individuals within the system. Economic recessions, for example, emerge from the interactions between consumers, businesses, governments, and financial institutions in ways that no single participant in the system could predict or control. Similarly, political movements and social norms emerge from the interplay of individual beliefs, values, and actions within a given cultural and political context. These phenomena are not reducible to individual actions or intentions but arise from the broader structures within which individuals and groups operate.

Thus, emergent properties are found across diverse fields, from the natural sciences to the social sciences, illustrating the breadth and significance of emergence as a concept. In each of these contexts, emergence challenges traditional reductionist approaches by asserting that complex systems can give rise to properties that are fundamentally new and irreducible. Whether in the realm of consciousness, biological systems, physical phenomena, or social structures, the study of emergent properties provides profound insights into how complexity, novelty, and unpredictability arise from simpler elements. As such, the concept of emergence offers a powerful framework for understanding the world around us, encouraging us to look beyond reductionist explanations and embrace a more holistic view of complex systems.

III. Emergence in the Philosophy of Mind: Consciousness and Reductionism

A particularly contentious and enduring issue in the philosophy of mind is whether consciousness can be fully explained through neurobiological processes, or whether it represents an emergent property that transcends the physical realm. This question touches on some of the deepest and most debated issues in philosophy, with dualism and materialism presenting two major competing perspectives on the nature of the mind. Dualism, most famously championed by René Descartes, posits that the mind and the body are two distinct substances: the mind is non-physical and separate from the material body, and this separation is what accounts for the unique nature of mental phenomena (Cottingham 2006). Descartes' dualism has been a foundational framework for much of modern philosophy of mind and continues to influence contemporary debates. However, emergentist theories stand in opposition to both the reductive materialism found in physicalism and the radical separation of

mind and body that dualism implies. Emergentism suggests that consciousness and other mental properties arise from the complex interactions of physical processes, yet they cannot be fully reduced to or explained by those processes alone. This offers an alternative to both the materialist view, which seeks to reduce consciousness to purely neurobiological phenomena, and to dualism, which maintains an ontological separation between the mental and the physical.

Emergentist views in the philosophy of mind, particularly those related to consciousness, challenge traditional reductionist approaches by suggesting that the mind may not be reducible to simple physical explanations. The concept of emergence, which emphasizes how complex systems can produce novel properties, has gained significant traction in this context. One of the earliest and most influential proponents of emergentism in the philosophy of mind is George Henry Lewes, who, in his work *Problems of Life and Mind* (1877), introduced the term "emergence" in relation to consciousness. Lewes argued that the human mind emerges from the complex interactions among the various components of the brain, and that consciousness cannot be fully explained by studying individual neurons or the interactions between them. This perspective aligns with the notion of weak emergence, which suggests that while higher-order mental properties emerge from the lower-level processes of the brain, these properties do not exert causal powers beyond their physical substrate. In other words, consciousness emerges from neural activity, but it is not an independent or separate force that acts outside the brain's neurobiological processes. Instead, it is intricately bound to the workings of the brain, though it exhibits novel properties that cannot be fully explained by the parts alone.

Samuel Alexander (1920) further extended the idea of emergentism in his metaphysical theory. Alexander proposed that consciousness is an emergent property that arises from the interactions of simpler entities, but it cannot be reduced to biological or physical explanations. According to Alexander, the mind and consciousness emerge from a complex web of relations between simpler physical elements, but these emergent qualities are more than just the sum of their parts. Alexander's view introduces a form of emergentism that is ontologically distinct from materialism. He suggested that qualities like knowledge, intentionality, and freedom emerge from the intricate relations between physical entities. However, Alexander's ideas have been subject to criticism, par-

ticularly for potentially carrying a form of dualism. His concept of emergent properties, which he positions as irreducible to the physical, has been interpreted by some scholars as suggesting a mind-body distinction similar to Cartesian dualism (Alexander 1920, 428). Critics argue that this separation of the emergent mind from the physical world introduces a new form of dualism that is at odds with physicalism and challenges the idea that consciousness can be fully integrated within the physicalist framework.

In addition to Lewes and Alexander, C. D. Broad (1925) contributed significantly to the development of emergentist thought with a more refined and nuanced approach to the nature of emergent properties, especially in relation to the mind. Broad sought to clarify and develop the concept of emergence in a way that would account for both the scientific understanding of the mind and the philosophical implications of emergentism. Broad introduced a distinction between what he termed maximal and minimal physicalism. Maximal physicalism is the view that all properties of the mind can be fully reduced to the laws of physics, while minimal physicalism posits that while mental properties do indeed emerge from physical systems, they cannot be fully explained by these systems alone. Broad's realization thesis emphasized that emergent properties, such as consciousness, are not reducible to the properties of their components, but they still adhere to the laws of nature. Broad's theory thus attempts to strike a balance between the extremes of reductionism and dualism, positioning emergent properties as real phenomena that arise from physical processes, yet retain a level of irreducibility and complexity that defies simple physical explanation (Beckermann 2008, 220).

Broad's work represents an attempt to reconcile the scientific understanding of the brain as a physical system with the recognition that certain properties, like consciousness, cannot be fully explained through a purely reductionist lens. He argued that while consciousness may emerge from neural processes, it possesses qualities that cannot be wholly accounted for by examining neurons and their interactions in isolation. These qualities, according to Broad, require a more complex understanding of how properties arise from interactions within a system, rather than simply attributing them to the characteristics of the components themselves.

The ongoing debate between reductionism and emergentism in the philosophy of mind reflects broader questions about the nature of expla-

nation, causality, and the relationship between mind and body. While reductionist approaches argue that the mind is fundamentally physical and can be entirely explained by neurobiological processes, emergentist theories suggest that the mind exhibits new, irreducible properties that arise from but cannot be fully explained by the underlying physical processes. This tension between reductionism and emergentism continues to shape contemporary discussions in the philosophy of mind, consciousness studies, and the broader fields of cognitive science and neurobiology. Ultimately, the exploration of emergent properties in the context of consciousness forces us to confront fundamental questions about the nature of reality, the limits of scientific explanation, and the possibility that some aspects of the mind may never be fully reducible to physical processes alone. The challenge, as philosophers and scientists continue to investigate, is to understand how consciousness arises from the physical brain while acknowledging that it may be more than just the sum of its parts.

IV. Beyond the Philosophy of Mind: Emergence in the Natural and Social Sciences

As we have found, the notion of emergence extends well beyond the philosophy of mind, impacting a wide array of scientific fields and offering an alternative framework for understanding how complex phenomena arise from simpler elements. In physics, emergent phenomena challenge the traditional reductionist view, which asserts that all phenomena can be fully explained by examining the interactions of lower-level components. For instance, the liquidity of water is an emergent property that arises from the collective behavior of water molecules, yet this property cannot be predicted simply by examining the individual molecules in isolation. The liquid state of water emerges from the interactions between molecules, but no single molecule on its own possesses the properties of liquidity. This example illustrates how new properties arise at higher levels of organization that are not reducible to, nor directly explainable by, the behaviors of individual parts. Similarly, in the realm of chemistry, the formation of complex molecules from simpler atoms demonstrates how new properties emerge when components combine in specific ways. A water molecule, for example, exhibits properties such as polarity, surface tension, and the ability to dissolve many substances - properties that no single hydrogen or oxygen atom possesses individually. Thus, emergentism

offers a compelling explanation for how novel and often unpredictable properties arise in both physical and chemical systems when the parts interact in particular ways.

This framework also finds relevance in other scientific domains, such as biology, where emergent properties are central to understanding the complexity of life. Biological organisms are made up of cells, tissues, and organs that exhibit behaviors and capabilities that cannot be reduced to the individual components alone. The ability of a single cell to perform complex biochemical processes, or the capacity of a group of cells to form a functioning organ system, is an emergent property of the collective actions of these cells. The complexity and organization of life itself provide a clear illustration of how emergent properties are crucial for explaining biological phenomena. The principles of emergence in physics and chemistry thus find analogs in biology, where the interactions of simpler biological components give rise to the complexity of life.

Similarly, in the social sciences emergentism plays a crucial role in understanding complex societal phenomena, highlighting how individual actions can lead to the emergence of larger, more intricate patterns. Economic systems, political movements, cultural shifts, and social institutions all emerge from the interactions of individuals within a society. These phenomena cannot be reduced to the actions of any single individual; instead, they arise from the collective behaviors and interactions of people within larger social structures. This collective emergence of social phenomena is a key aspect of the study of social systems. In economics, for example, market trends and consumer behavior emerge from the complex interactions of buyers and sellers, often in ways that cannot be predicted by examining the behaviors of individual participants alone. Similarly, political movements often arise from the aggregation of individual beliefs and actions, but the movement itself takes on a life and direction that goes beyond the intentions of any single participant. The complexity of human societies and institutions demonstrates how emergent properties are essential for understanding how individual actions, when aggregated, lead to the creation of complex social structures and dynamics.

The study of collective behaviors in social psychology further underscores the role of emergent properties in understanding human interactions. Social norms, shared values, group dynamics, and even collective emotions are all emergent properties that arise from the interactions

of individuals within a group. O'Connor and Wong (2015) emphasize how emergent phenomena like social norms cannot be traced back to the actions or intentions of any single individual but instead emerge from the collective behavior of a group. These norms guide behavior in a society and influence how individuals act and interact with each other. For instance, the phenomenon of peer pressure emerges from the shared expectations within a group, affecting individual behavior in ways that are not reducible to any one person's actions. Understanding the role of emergent properties in these contexts can provide deep insights into how societies function and evolve over time.

Furthermore, the rise of artificial intelligence (AI) and computational systems has provided new insights into emergent properties and their relevance in the technological sphere. Artificial neural networks, a foundational element of machine learning and AI, exemplify how complex behaviors can emerge from relatively simple algorithmic rules. These networks are designed to simulate the way the human brain works, with nodes (or artificial neurons) that process and transmit information. Despite their simplicity and the limited processing power of individual nodes, these networks can learn, adapt, and generate sophisticated outputs, such as recognizing images, translating languages, or playing complex games like chess or Go. The ability of these networks to produce emergent behavior, such as recognizing patterns or making decisions, showcases the power of emergentist principles in explaining how complex systems can arise from relatively simple rules or interactions. The development of AI and machine learning technologies highlights the importance of understanding emergence in computational systems, where novel capabilities arise as a result of the interactions between basic computational elements.

Emergence in AI also draws attention to the idea that intelligence, and even consciousness, might emerge from complex systems of artificial neural networks. While these systems do not possess consciousness in the same way humans do, they demonstrate how intelligence-like behaviors can emerge from the interactions of simpler components. This challenges the traditional view that intelligence must be directly linked to biological processes, offering new perspectives on the nature of thought, cognition, and consciousness in both artificial and biological systems.

The exploration of emergent properties in fields such as physics, chemistry, biology, the social sciences, and artificial intelligence provides

a powerful framework for understanding complexity. Rather than seeing the world as a collection of isolated parts, emergentism encourages us to look at the interactions between components and how these interactions give rise to new, often unpredictable, properties. In doing so, it offers new perspectives on the nature of reality and opens up exciting possibilities for both scientific research and technological development.

V. Conclusion: Emergentism as a Framework for Understanding Reality

In conclusion, the theory of emergence provides a robust and compelling framework for understanding the complexities of reality across a wide range of disciplines. It offers valuable insights into how novel properties and behaviors arise from the interaction of simpler components, and this concept is applicable to various scientific and philosophical fields, including the philosophy of mind, physics, biology, and the social sciences. Emergent properties serve as a way to bridge the gap between the reductionist desire to explain everything in terms of its basic elements and the recognition that the whole may be more than the sum of its parts. Whether it is the emergence of consciousness from neurobiological processes or the rise of complex systems in natural or social contexts, emergentism helps to explain how new, often unpredictable qualities arise from simpler entities and their interactions.

Emergentism offers a new perspective on phenomena that resist simple reduction to their constituent components. For instance, the study of consciousness in philosophy presents a central application of emergence, where the relationship between the mind and body is explored. While reductionism has often struggled to account for the subjective and qualitative aspects of consciousness, emergentism proposes that these qualities arise from the complex interactions of the brain's neurons and other components. This view challenges the simplistic reductionist accounts that attempt to explain consciousness solely in terms of neural processes, as well as the dualistic approaches that attempt to separate mind and body entirely.

Further, emergentism has found strong support in various scientific fields as well, particularly in understanding complex systems such as those found in physics, biology, and social science. In physics, emergent properties, such as the liquidity of water or superconductivity, demonstrate how the collective behavior of simpler entities results in properties

that cannot be explained by the properties of individual molecules or atoms. In biology, the rise of life and the emergent properties of biological organisms further illustrate how complex systems arise from simpler components. Similarly, in social sciences, the interactions of individuals within a larger society lead to emergent phenomena such as social norms, economic systems, and collective behaviors, which cannot be reduced to the actions of any single person but arise from the collective organization of individuals.

Thus, the key conclusions from retracing philosophical emergentism to emergence as a concept and emergent properties themselves are

1. the fact that emergentism provides a powerful tool for explaining how novel properties arise from the interactions of simpler components, challenging reductionist approaches that attempt to explain complex phenomena solely in terms of their constituent parts,

2. that theories of emergence offer promising approaches to understanding consciousness as alternatives to both reductionist materialism and dualism, with weak emergence, as posited by John Searle, sees consciousness as arising from neurobiological processes but not exerting causal power independent of those processes, and strong emergence, as argued by David Chalmers, suggesting that consciousness may have properties that cannot be fully explained by the physical workings of the brain,

3. in fields like physics and biology, emergent properties help explain how complex systems like water, life, or biological organisms exhibit novel behaviors and properties that cannot be reduced to the individual components, with superconductivity, liquidity, and life itself being examples of how complex interactions between components give rise to new phenomena,

4. emergentism also playing a significant role in understanding social phenomena, e.g. social norms, political movements, and economic systems emerging from the interactions of individuals within a larger social framework, with the phenomena all the while being irreducible to individual actions but arising from the collective behavior and organisation of society, and

5. that while emergentism faces challenges in distinguishing itself from reductionism and dualism, it represents a middle ground that addresses the limitations of both, in the sense that it rejects the oversimplification of reductionism, which fails to account for the complexity of

systems, and counters dualism's separation of mind and body, offering a more integrated understanding of consciousness and other complex systems.

In this sense, although emergentism is not without its challenges, particularly in distinguishing itself from reductionism and dualism, it continues to represent a significant area of philosophical and scientific inquiry. Emergentism provides a middle ground between reductionism, which attempts to explain everything by reducing it to its simplest parts, and dualism, which posits that there are separate realms for mind and matter. Emergent properties point to the importance of understanding systems as wholes rather than merely as collections of parts.